

Contact Webpage Entries Containing Comments Regarding
Maps

- #74 - Map 816B (District R Map #80240)
- #73 - Map #404
- #71 - Map District R #70305 & Public Map #801

Contact Us : Entry # 74

Message

Accompanying Comments for Map 816B (DistrictR Map #80240)

This map attempts to improve upon and rebalance Santa Barbara County's five Supervisorial Districts without unnecessary turmoil and disruption of established identity, representation, and community relationships. This map is a slightly revised final version of Map 816.

The urban population of Santa Barbara and Santa Maria has historically required that each of them be represented by two districts, the First and the Second in the South, and the Fourth and the Fifth in the North. This map retains that time-tested strategy and reaffirms historical district connections.

It makes clear minimal modifications to the boundary between the First and the Second within the City of Santa Barbara and the campus of SBCC remains intact. The current ragged sawtooth boundary between the Fourth and the Fifth within the City of Santa Maria is dramatically simplified. Apart from minimal changes to their western boundaries the First, Second, and Fifth District remain substantially unchanged.

The Third District has historically incorporated the Santa Ynez Valley, a number of small communities, and the largest number of residents in the unincorporated areas. Aggregating these residents within a single district insures that they will have a strong voice in County government despite their geographic dispersal. Apart from the City of Lompoc, this map keeps intact the watershed of the Santa Ynez River from the eastern end of Lake Cachuma to Surf Beach.

In order for the population of the Third to equal the other districts it has always needed an urban population, and Isla Vista and UCSB provide that population while unifying the entire Gaviota Coast community of interest from Campus Point to Point Conception. The Gaviota Coast and the Santa Ynez Valley combine recreational visitor-serving opportunities of immense cultural and economic importance.

Lompoc has always served as the focus of the Fourth District along with Orcutt and part of Santa Maria. This map retains those community of interest driven connections while rebalancing the area of unincorporated Orcutt included. This is necessitated by the relative growth of the Fourth and Fifth Districts.

The voting age population of total minorities according to DistrictR is listed below:
5th Dist. - 83%, 4th Dist. – 59%, 3rd Dist. – 50%, 2nd Dist. – 40%, 1st Dist. – 44%

The Fifth District has a Citizen Voting Age Population (CVAP) of 60%.

Current analysis indicates 6 split places but this could easily be reduced to 4 split places. A small adjustment to the boundary of the First and Second Districts would eliminate a very minor "splitting" of Eastern Goleta Valley due to the irregular city limits of the City of Santa Barbara in the foothills above San Roque. This amendment has been requested.

Currently the low density part of the City of Lompoc that lies north of the Santa Ynez River is in the Third District. Adjusting that boundary could place all of the city within the Fourth District. With those adjustments Map 816B would split only 4 places.

Notes



Admin Notification (ID: 5aeca69eea8d6)

added 2 hours ago

WordPress successfully passed the notification email to the sending server.

Contact Us : Entry # 73

Name

Michael Schaumburg

Email

mikeys2@cox.net

Message

Please review and select map #404.

Thank you

Notes



Admin Notification (ID: 5aeca69eea8d6)

added November 16, 2021 at 6:10 pm

WordPress successfully passed the notification email to the sending server.

Contact Us : Entry # 72

Name

Michael Schaumburg

Email

mikeys2@cox.net

Message

I have a couple of questions and a comment.

I'm calling about the missing attached letter to the commission by the very concerned 100 or so signatories that commissioner Trotsky identified last Friday. Can you explain?

I'm also very concerned about the early map drawings that were submitted having been supplied with faulty data. Has the commission contacted these early map drawers to explain this egregious error? And how are you dealing with this?

Lastly, I want to express my sincerest concerns about how you on the commission will decide on this very important data.

I want you to ensure that fairness, integrity, impartiality, which includes bi-partisanship is always applied in each one of your decisions.

Thank you.

Notes



Admin Notification (ID: 5aeca69eea8d6)

added November 16, 2021 at 5:47 pm

WordPress successfully passed the notification email to the sending server.

Contact Us : Entry # 71**Name**

Gary Hall

Emailrbvhoaghall@gmail.com**Message**

I STRONGLY RECOMMEND A "COMMUNITIES OF INTEREST" APPROACH TO THE REDISTRICTING. THE UNITED COMMUNITIES MAP SUBMITTED AS DISTRICT R 70305 OR PUBLIC MAP 801 MEETS MY CRITERIA AND I ENDORSE THAT MAP.

Notes**Admin Notification (ID: 5aeca69eea8d6)**

added November 15, 2021 at 11:05 pm

WordPress successfully passed the notification email to the sending server.