



County of Santa Barbara
**Citizens Independent
Redistricting Commission**

Commissioner Cheryl Trosky, First District
Commissioner Karen Twibell, First District
Commissioner William McClintock, Second District
Commissioner Megan Turley, Second District, Vice Chair
Commissioner Norman "Doug" Bradley, Third District
Commissioner Kevin Kaseff, Third District
Commissioner James Bray, Fourth District
Commissioner Amanda Ochoa, Fourth District
Commissioner Glenn Morris, Fifth District, Chair
Commissioner Michael Hartman, Fifth District
Jannet Rios, Member-At-Large

**COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA CITIZENS INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION
SUMMARY OF PROCEEDINGS**

Date: November 18, 2021, 12 p. m.
Place: Virtual only via Zoom

Carlos Cerecedo, Interpreter, explained the process for Spanish interpretation services for this meeting.

Call to Order – Roll Call

Commission Convened at 12:00 p. m.

Commissioners Present: Bradley, Bray, Hartman, Kaseff, McClintock, Morris, Ochoa, Rios, Trosky, Turley, Twibell

Commissioners Absent: None

Informational Items (Items 1-2)

1. Commissioner disclosure of [ex parte communications](#) pursuant to County Ordinance Code Sec. 2-10.9A(5)(h) are posted on the commission website at www.DrawSantaBarbaraCounty.org.
2. Community-Based Organizations for Outreach Information.

To submit the name of a community-based organization that the Commission should consider contacting with outreach information, visit www.DrawSantaBarbaraCounty.org. Please review the existing the "suggest outreach" list; and, if the organization is not already listed, submit public contact information for the organization using the outreach form. Questions, suggestions, or other information can be emailed to redistricting@countyofsb.org.

Consent Calendar (Item 3)

All matters listed under the Consent Calendar are considered routine and may be enacted by one motion. There will be no separate discussion of these items unless an item is removed by a Commissioner for separate consideration.

3. Approval of Minutes of November 12, 2021.

Chair Morris announced a correction to the Minutes of November 12, 2021, to reflect Dr. Gall's presentation.

c/o County Executive Office: 105 E. Anapamu Street, Suite 406 Santa Barbara, CA 93101

- Email: redistricting@countyofsb.org
- Website: www.DrawSantaBarbaraCounty.org
- Facebook: <https://www.facebook.com/sbcredistrictingcommission>
- Instagram: <https://www.instagram.com/sbcredistricting/>

Motion to approve a correction to the Minutes of November 12, 2021, adding information reflecting Dr. Gall's presentation.

Motion Carried: 11 Ayes 0 Noes 0 Absent

Discussion Items (Items 4)

4. Presentation of draft maps to Commissioners, and discussion of draft maps by Commissioners and legal counsel regarding issues of voting rights compliance.

Dr. Phillips presented the following:

- Rules for Drawing the Lines
- A Note about District Numbering
- What Key Stats are Shown on the Map of Each Plan?
- Nonviable Plans:
 - 1 Partial Plan – #202
 - 1 Not Close to Population Balanced – #829
- Viable Plans Grouped as so:
 - Group A: Join Guadalupe with Santa Maria (45 plans)
 - Group B: Join Guadalupe with Santa Maria and Orcutt (7 plans)
 - Group C: Join Guadalupe with Orcutt (27 plans)
 - Group D: Join Guadalupe with Lompoc (10 plans)
 - Group E: Join Guadalupe with Goleta (3 plans)
 - Group F: Join Guadalupe with Isla Vista (3 plans)
 - Total: 100 viable plans
 - Subgroup 1: Join Isla Vista with Carpinteria (6 plans total)
 - Subgroup 2: Join Isla Vista with Santa Barbara (36 plans total)
 - Subgroup 3: Join Isla Vista with Goleta (7 plans total)
 - Subgroup 4: Joint Isla Vista with Lompoc (38 plans total)
 - Subgroup 5: Join Isla Vista with Guadalupe (8 plans total)
 - Subgroup 6: Split Isla Vista Between Two Districts (5 plans total)
 - Total: 100 viable plans
- At Today's Meeting:
 - Group A: Join Guadalupe with Santa Maria (6 plans): 501B, 818B, 820, 821, 821B, 825
 - Group B: Join Guadalupe with both Santa Maria and Orcutt (3 plans): 802B, 804B, 826
 - Group C: Join Guadalupe with Orcutt (3 plans): 822, 823, 827
 - Group D: Join Guadalupe with Lompoc (2 plans): 824, 828
 - Group E: Join Guadalupe with Goleta (0 plans)
 - Group F: Join Guadalupe with Isla Vista (2 plans): 503, 816B

Attorney Ordin gave an overview of the criteria (i.e., equal population, race, Voting Rights Act, etc.), prioritization, and communities of interest.

Chair Morris explained the process to narrow down the maps, and asked the speakers to identify the map number so it can be shown simultaneously during comments.

Public Comments (Limited to two minutes per speaker)

- Speaker 1, Frances Romero: Commented about the recent Guadalupe City Council meeting, and concerns about Guadalupe and Santa Maria in District 5.
- Speaker 2, Roy Reed: Commented about his submission map 404, that includes 2 majority districts, and a new District 2.
- Speaker 3, JL Duncan: Commented about his submission map 816B, Isla Vista, UCSB, and Chumash villages.
- Speaker 4, George Relles: Concerns about possible change in the voting cycle, opposed to moving UCSB or Isla Vista to another district, and in support of map 816B.
- Speaker 5, Andy Caldwell: No support shown for his submission map 817, and DistrictR errors.
- Speaker 6, Ian Baucke: In support of map 801, 818, and prefers map 821B.
- Speaker 7, Lee Heller: In support of map 818, 816B, 821B, against changing district numbering, and opposed to map 815, 828, and maps drawn by NDC.
- Speaker 8, Roseanne Crawford: Commented about District 3, fair representation for coastal and foothill communities, and in support of map 404, and 408B.
- Speaker 9, Rebecca Gowing: In support of map 404, 407 and 408B.
- Speaker 10, Carol Gregor: In support of map 404 and 408B.
- Speaker 11, Lawanda Lyons-Pruitt: In support of map 801, 816B, 818 and 821.
- Speaker 12, Michelle De Werd: In support of map 401 and 408B.
- Speaker 13, Lupe Aldecoa: In support of map 404, and opposes the CAUSE map.
- Speaker 14, Nelda Martin: In support of map 401, 404 and 408B.
- Speaker 15, Catherine Flaherty: In support of map 818.
- Speaker 16, Spencer Brandt: In support of map 818.
- Speaker 17, Juan Garcia: In support of map 408B, and opposes the CAUSE map.
- Speaker 18, Greg Hammel: In support of map 404.
- Speaker 19, Jorge Sanchez: In support of map 404, 408B, and opposes map 801.
- Speaker 20, Carlos Santos: In support of map 404, and opposes the CAUSE map.
- Speaker 21, Maria Lopez: In support of map 404, 408B, and opposes the CAUSE map.
- Speaker 22, Alan Ebenstein: Consider map 822.
- Speaker 23, Roberta Jaffe: Consider maps that keep Cuyama Valley in District 1 and 5.
- Speaker 24, Yolanda Rodriguez: In support of map 404, 408B, and the letter opposing the CAUSE map.
- Speaker 25, Antonio Ramirez: Commented about the resolution passed at the Guadalupe City Council meeting last night in support of map 801.
- Speaker 26, Lorena Gonzalez: In support of map 404, and opposes the CAUSE map.
- Speaker 27, Teri Doutney: Commented about Isla Vista, some coastal access for all districts, supports the Chumash areas, and in support of map 818.
- Speaker 28, BL Borovay: In support of map 816B, 818 and 821.
- Speaker 29, Tom Martinez: In support of map 408B, and map 401, 404, 407, and 804 deserve consideration.

- Speaker 30, Leah Braitman: Favors a map where the oil and gas industry, and special interests don't influence or control the decisions, and Lompoc is more closely aligned with Isla Vista.
- Speaker 31, Claire Wineman: The Grower-Shipper Association of Santa Barbara and San Luis Obispo Counties Board of Directors met and support map 404.
- Speaker 32, Tania Lucero: In support of map 404, and opposes the CAUSE map.
- Speaker 33, John Kershaw: In support of map 404 and 804.
- Speaker 34, Barbara Batastini: Concerns about District 3 (current), and in support of map 404 or 408B.
- Speaker 35, Nik Schiffmann: In support of map 822.
- Speaker 36, Colleen Estrada: In support of map 401, 404 and 408B.
- Speaker 37, Janet Flores: In support of map 404, 408B, and opposes the CAUSE map.

Recessed the meeting at 2:11 p.m., and reconvened the meeting at 2:21 p.m.

Chair Morris explained that each commissioner will present up to 5 map preferences for further discussion to move forward.

Commissioner Selections:

- Commissioner Bradley (in reverse order, least to most preferred): 816B with pending modifications, 818 (an improvement on map 816B), 810, and top choices are map 815 and 821B.
 - Cuyama Valley should be connected with North County and should not be in District 1.
 - The need for a district to fairly represent the Latinx community, merge Guadalupe with North or Northwest Santa Maria, and not exclusively Southwest Santa Maria.
 - Merge renters for fair representation.
 - Should not divide unincorporated areas.
- Commissioner Bray: 401, 404, 408B, 804 and 822 (with minor modifications).
- Commissioner Hartman: 801, 810 and 821B.
 - All districts should have some coastal access.
- Commissioner Kaseff (in reverse order, least to most preferred): 408B, 821B, and 404.
- Commissioner McClintock (no particular order): 809, 815, 818, 821B, 822, also map 816B is a great map for a no change option, and emphasized map 821B.
 - All districts touch the ocean.
- Chair Morris (in no particular order): 104 (concerns about the number of split communities), 112, 119 (move Cuyama Valley to one of the northern districts), 408B (reduce deviations and CVAP potentially improvable), and 822 (move Cuyama Valley and improve CVAP).
- Commissioner Ochoa (no particular order): 816B, 128, 810 and 407.
- Commissioner Rios (in reverse order, least to most preferred): 104, 809, 821B, 801C, and prefers 801.
- Commissioner Trosky: Prefers 404 (it answers public comment concerns), 408B, 823 and 822. Map 401 and 407 are great maps, and least favorite is 103 (and later removed 106).
- Vice Chair Turley (no particular order): 104, 801C, 818, 821B, 809 (personal preference), and 816B should be an alternate.
- Commissioner Twibell (no particular order): 401, 404, 408B, 801C (least favorite), 804B, 815, 821B, 822.

Map Selection Tally:

- 7 mentions: 821B
- 5 mentions: 822, 408B
- 4 mentions: 404, 816B
- 3 mentions: 401, 407, 809, 810, 815, 818, 801C
- 2 mentions: 104, 801
- 1 mention: 103, 119, 128, 804, 823, 804B, 806B

Grand total = 57

Commissioner Discussion:

- Drop the maps with one or two votes, and combine the 400 series.
- 400 series maps: Combine votes for map 401, 404, 407 and 408B, drop map 401, 404, 407, and keep 408B.
- 800 series maps: Combine votes for map 801 and 801C, drop map 801, and use map 801C.
- Reconsider map 404, as it initially received 4 votes.

Maps moving forward for consideration: 104, 408B, 801C, 809, 810, 815, 816B, 818, 821B, 822

Dr. Phillips explained the map numbering.

Dr. Johnson suggested each commissioner provide 2 (or 3 maximum) maps by Monday.

Motion to further consider map 104, 408B, 801C, 809, 810, 815, 816B, 818, 821B, 822, and Commissioners come prepared to offer their top 2 (or 3 maximum) map choices at the meeting on Monday, November 22, 2021.

Motion passed: 11 Ayes, 0 Noes 0 Absent

Commissioners discussed updating the website now to show the maps moving forward, giving the public time to review the maps, and modifications to those maps (as needed) to follow.

General Public Comments

The General Public Comment period is reserved for comments on items not on this Agenda and for matters within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Citizens Independent Redistricting Commission. The Commission may adopt reasonable regulations, including time limits, on public comments. The Commission may not discuss or take action on any matter raised during the public comment section, except to decide whether to place a matter on the agenda of a future meeting.

General Public Comments (Limited to two minutes per speaker)

- Speaker 1, Lee Heller: Indicate how many people would be moved by any final map, and possibly add to the assessment and analysis.
- Speaker 2, Ian Baucke: Keep in mind voter turnout and registration data when looking at minority/majority districts.

- Speaker 3, Colleen Estrada: Concerns about process used to narrow down the maps, and how the maps were ranked.
- Speaker 4, Frances Romero: Cautioned about diluting commissioner participation during map selection, and the community participation process.

Written Public Comments

- Carissa and Stephen Luke: Remove Isla Vista and Goleta from the North County district.
- Ed Fuller: Advocated for his submission map 80223 and 80317, and if not selected, will support map 404 or 408B.
- Glenn Battles: In support of map 404.
- Lee Heller: Not disrupting the numbering protocol and recommended not using NDC's approach.
- Joan Livingston: In support of map 404.
- Bruce and Louise McKaig: In favor of the 400 series maps, especially 404 or 408B.
- Denice Spangler Adams: In support of map 404.
- J.L. Duncan: In support of map 816B (final version of map 816 and formerly identified as map 830).
- Jerry Rounds: In support of map 404 or 408B.
- Ellen Thermos: Against placing UCSB in the same district as Lompoc or Isla Vista, and don't split up Lompoc.
- John Thermos: Keep Lompoc Valley (including Lompoc City, Vandenberg Village and Mission Hills) whole and in one district.
- George Bedford: Keep North County away from Isla Vista.
- Bob Niehaus: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Chris Chirgwin: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keeping cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Rosanne and Harold Crawford: Fair representation for the coastal and foothill region, and in support of map 404 or 408B.
- Mark Oliver: In support of map 816B.
- Kari Campbell-Bohard: Opposed to splitting the City of Lompoc between districts and/or combining Lompoc with Isla Vista/UCSB, and in support of map 103.
- John Zemanovic: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106.
- Sherrie Jones: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106.
- Ralph Nobbe: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106.
- Janet Rowse: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, and place Isla Vista and UCSB in a South County district.
- Edith Robinson: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Rosalea Greenwood: Keep the City of Lompoc whole (including Vandenberg Village and Mission Hills), and it should not be in the same district with Isla Vista and UCSB.
- Jeff Koligian: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Alfonso Velazquez: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.

- Connie Velazquez: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Susan Anderson: Consider one of the 400 series maps.
- Chuck Musselwhite: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Lorin Bronson: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, and keep cities as whole as possible.
- Richard McKenzie: Consider one of the 400 series maps.
- Rob Mangus: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Ron and Tonya Baird: Opposed to splitting the City of Lompoc between districts and/or combining Lompoc with Isla Vista/UCSB, and in support of map 103.
- Katina Zaninovich: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Rick Soto: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Marjorie Popper: In support of map 816B.
- John Gustafsson: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Laretta Griffin: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Suzanne & John Petersen: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, and Isla Vista and UCSB should be in District 2.
- Jim Glines: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Allison McAdams, on behalf of Kenneth Kahn, Tribal Chairman, Santa Ynez Band of Chumash Indians: Chumash Reservation and villages between the Santa Ynez Valley, coastal areas near Gaviota and Isla Vista, Goleta Slough and west to Point conception, and in support of leaving the District 3 boundaries unchanged as much as possible.
- Suzanne Petrie: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Mark Jackson: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Loren Hiltner: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Mike Stoker: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Richard Souza: In support of map 808, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Jessica Tobin: Opposed to splitting the City of Lompoc between districts, and against combining Lompoc with Isla Vista and UCSB.
- Anita Dwyer: Keep cities as whole as possible and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in the North district.
- keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Loren McFarland: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.

- Mark Evans: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Michael Nicassio: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Colette Evans: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Tariq Kadri: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Sid Abma: In support of map 103, 106, 404 and 804, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Gwen Bullard: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- John and Joan Schumacher: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Jeff Lundberg: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Mike Harman: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Mark Williams: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Colleen Griffiths Estrada: Consider one of the 400 series maps.
- Margaret Hammel: In support of map 404 or 408B, and unite the Goleta Valley, Santa Barbara, Isla Vista and SBCC into one district.
- Marcia Tremblay: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Jim Thomas: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Cindy Gough: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Carol Redhead: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, and do not put Isla Vista and Goleta with Lompoc.
- Justin Shores: In support of map 404.
- Terri Stricklin: In support of map 103, 106, 404 and 804, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Mary Arnold: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Greg Hammel: In support of map 404 or 408B.
- Jim Stollberg: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- George Bedford: Keep Isla Vista out of North County.
- Walter Guthrie: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Becky Grant: In support of map 404, 804, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district, and Orcutt and Lompoc areas should remain together.

- Renee Grubb: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Jeff Havik: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Dr. Lata Murti: Latinx representation and in support of map 801 and 818.
- Dulcie Sinn: In support of the plans that split Lompoc Valley and importance of representation in 2 supervisorial districts.
- Lisa Ostendorf: In support of map 404 and 407.
- Mike Sewall: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- J.L. Duncan: Revisions to map 816B and DistrictR map 82621.
- Justin Ruhge: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep Lompoc Valley undivided and in North County District 4, and move Isla Vista and UCSB to District 2.
- Marell Brooks: In support of map 816B, and Isla Vista and UCSB in District 3.
- Barbara Batastini: In support of map 404 or 408B.
- Baudelio Lara: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Meg DiNapoli: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Carmen Kershaw: Lompoc should be kept whole and not in the same district with Isla Vista and UCSB, and do not include Isla Vista and UCSB in the North district.
- Natalie Grubb-Campbell: In support of map 404 and 408B.
- Chuck Eras: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Pat Sullivan: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- J. Brett Marymee: In support of map 404 and will serve District 3 well.
- Barbara Rogers Scollin: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Bruce Scollin: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Dena Snedden: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Mary Joan Wallace: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Patrick Richmond (1): In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Patrick Richmond (2): In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Muriel Richmond: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Richard Williams: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106.
- David Perry: In support of map 103, 106, 404 and 804.
- Mike Lovell: In support of map 404 or 408B.

- Glenn Avolio: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Bob Lynn: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Shiloh Flagg: Isla Vista should not be with the Santa Ynez district.
- Barbara Lyon: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, Isla Vista and UCSB do not belong with Orcutt or North County, and keep them together and close to Santa Barbara.
- Richard “Dick” Graham: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, and UCSB and Isla Vista don’t have geographic or demographic commonalities with North County.
- Dallas White: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Judi Stauffer: In support of map 816B because it requires minimal modifications to current Santa Barbara County districts, and imperative that the Santa Ynez Valley remain intact within District 3.
- Clayton Turner: In support of map 804, 404, 103 and 106, keep cities as whole as possible, and opposed to Isla Vista and UCSB in a North County district.
- Dianne Johnson: In support of map 404 or 408B, Santa Ynez Valley should be its own district, SBCC and Isla Vista have common interests, and the different needs of Isla Vista and Santa Ynez Valley.
- Michael Schaumburg: Accompanying comments for map 816B (DistrictR map 80240).
- Michael Schaumburg: Concerns about faulty data with early map submissions, commented about the letter with 100 signatures, and decision making.
- Gary Hall: In support of the United Communities map 801.

Reports from Legal Counsel, Demographer and Communications Consultant

None.

Commissioner Comments

- Update the Attendance Note with the meeting dates.

Mrs. Tilton announced there will be a Closed Session at the beginning of the meeting on November 22, will last approximately 45 minutes, and will occur before deliberation of maps. The meeting will be called to order at 6 p.m., roll call taken, and then recess to Closed Session.

Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 4:08 p. m. The next meeting is scheduled on Monday, November 22, 2021, at 6 p.m., in the Planning Commission Room, 123 Anapamu Street, Santa Barbara (and virtually via Zoom).